



New Study: The Housing Landscape for America's Working Families 2007

**Percentage Increases in Critical Housing Needs in the
31 U.S. Metropolitan Areas Studied**

Rank	Metro Name (Year Surveyed)	Percentage Increase in <i>Critical Housing Needs*</i> Among Working Family Households**
1	Denver (1995-2004)	162%
2	Charlotte (1995-2002)	86%
3	Kansas City (1995-2002)	84%
4	Sacramento (1996-2004)	81%
5	Pittsburgh (1995-2004)	72%
6	Indianapolis (1996-2004)	70%
7	San Antonio (1995-2004)	67%
8	Portland, OR (1995-2002)	58%
9	Los Angeles (1999-2003)	56%
10	Seattle (1996-2004)	49%
11	St. Louis (1996-2004)	43%
12	Memphis (1996-2004)	41%
13	Detroit (1999-2003)	40%
13	Newark, NJ (1999-2003)	34%
15	Atlanta (1996-2004)	32%
16	Cleveland (1996-2004)	31%
17	Oklahoma City (1996-2004)	26%
18	Columbus (1995-2002)	24%
19	Hartford (1996-2004)	21%
19	Miami (1995-2003)	21%
21	Philadelphia (1999-2003)	19%
22	Anaheim (1994-2002)	16%
22	New York (1999-2003)	16%
24	San Diego (1994-2002)	15%
25	Chicago (1999-2003)	14%
26	Phoenix (1994-2002)	7%
27	Milwaukee (1994-2002)	4%
27	Dallas (1994-2002)	-5%
29	Fort Worth (1994-2002)	-7%
30	San Bernardino (1994-2002)	-12%
31	Buffalo (1994-2002)	-21%

###

*The "Critical Needs" rankings reflect the study's calculations of the share of working families paying more than half of their income for housing and/or living in dilapidated conditions in each metropolitan area, as measured in the most recent *American Housing Survey* data provided for each metropolitan area.

**"Working Family Households" work the equivalent of a full-time job and earn at least the annual minimum wage of \$10,712, but no more than 120 percent of the median income in their area.